

### 2D Materials

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201907689 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201907689

### **Covalent Organic Framework (COF-1) under High Pressure**

Jinhua Sun, Artem Iakunkov, Igor A. Baburin, Boby Joseph, Vincenzo Palermo, and Alexandr V. Talyzin\*

Abstract: COF-1 has a structure with rigid 2D layers composed of benzene and  $B_3O_3$  rings and weak van der Waals bonding between the layers. The as-synthesized COF-1 structure contains pores occupied by solvent molecules. A high surface area empty-pore structure is obtained after vacuum annealing. High-pressure XRD and Raman experiments with mesitylene-filled (COF-1-M) and empty-pore COF-1 demonstrate partial amorphization and collapse of the framework structure above 12-15 GPa. The ambient pressure structure of COF-1-M can be reversibly recovered after compression up to 10-15 GPa. Remarkable stability of highly porous COF-1 structure at pressures at least up to 10 GPa is found even for the empty-pore structure. The bulk modulus of the COF-1 structure (11.2(5) GPa) and linear incompressibilities  $(k_{[100]} = 111(5) \text{ GPa}, k_{[001]} = 15.0(5) \text{ GPa})$ were evaluated from the analysis of XRD data and crosschecked against first-principles calculations.

Lovalent organic frameworks (COFs) are porous polymers composed only of light elements.<sup>[1]</sup> The absence of metal atoms in their structure makes COFs distinctly different compared to metal-organic framework materials (MOFs). COFs are typically synthesized by polycondensation reactions using a variety of organic building blocks providing hundreds of structures with a broad range of pore sizes and surface areas.<sup>[1,2]</sup> The most common and historically first discovered COF-1 is prepared using 1,4-benzenediboronic acid (DBA) as a precursor molecule.<sup>[1]</sup> The structure of COF-1 consists of benzene rings linked by B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into hexagon-shaped 2D sheets,

[*]	Dr. J. Sun, A. Iakunkov, Dr. A. V. Talyzin Department of Physics, Umeå University 90187 Umeå (Sweden) E-mail: alexandr.talyzin@umu.se
	Dr. J. Sun, Prof. V. Palermo Department of Industrial and Materials Science, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola 41296 Göteborg (Sweden)
	Dr. I. A. Baburin Theoretische Chemie, Technische Universitat Dresden Bergstraße 66b, 01062 Dresden (Germany)
	B. Joseph Gd R IISc-ICTP, Elettra-Sincrotrone Trieste 34149 Basovizza, Trieste (Italy)
D	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201907689.



© 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

which are stacked into a layered structure, resembling in this respect the structure of graphite composed of graphene layers. By analogy with graphene, the single layer of COF material could be named as COFene since it represents a true 2D material composed of carbon, hydrogen, boron, and oxygen. The structure of COFs with precisely defined pore sizes (e.g., 1.5 nm for COF-1) is a unique property, which could be useful for applications that require a high surface area and porosity. Therefore, various COFs and COF-based composites have been proposed for a variety of applications,<sup>[3]</sup> for example, in catalysis,<sup>[4]</sup> as membrane materials,<sup>[5]</sup> and as materials for energy-related devices.<sup>[6]</sup> Most recently, much interest was focused on using single COF layers in combination with other 2D materials, for example, graphene.<sup>[7]</sup>

However, little is known about mechanical properties of COFs or single layered COFenes except for a few theoretical estimates.<sup>[8]</sup> It is interesting to note that the in-plane compressibility of graphene and bulk modulus of graphite were evaluated using high-pressure methods<sup>[9]</sup> long before the explosion of interest in isolated graphene in the early 2000s. High-pressure studies of several other materials composed of rigid 2D layers held together by weak van der Waals bonding have been reported over past 15 years, including for example, turbostratic boron nitride, graphite oxides,<sup>[10]</sup> and Mxenes.<sup>[11]</sup> Surprisingly, no high-pressure studies are so far available for layered COFs. That is despite rather strong interest in the pressure-dependent properties of various porous MOF structures. A variety of new phenomena have been reported for MOFS MOFs at high pressures, including for example, pressuredriven collapse of structure,<sup>[12]</sup> phase transitions,<sup>[13]</sup> anomalies related to pore filling with guest molecules,<sup>[14]</sup> negative linear compressibility,<sup>[15]</sup> and others.<sup>[15b]</sup> Very recently, exploration of MOFs was extended also to experiments combining high pressure with high temperatures.<sup>[16]</sup> However, most of the MOFs studied at high pressure show rigid covalent 3D framework structure unlike the layered COF-1.

The COF-1 structure is formed by staggered AB-arrangement of layers, analogous to the packing of graphene layers in graphite (Figure 1). According to the standard synthesis procedure, the pores of COF-1 structure are occupied by mesitylene, the solvent involved in solvothermal synthesis.<sup>[17]</sup> Vacuum annealing of COF-1 allows removal of the guest molecules, providing the structure with interconnected pore network and high specific surface area (ca. 700  $m^2g^{-1}$  by N<sub>2</sub> BET). It is believed that the COF-1 structure is re-arranged from staggered into eclipsed form as a result of solvent evaporation. The eclipsed stacking of COF-1 layers is formed when atoms of adjacent sheets are located over each other, possibly with a slight relative shift.<sup>[1,17,18]</sup> However, strong disorder is typical for the annealed COF-1 structure, provid-

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 1087-1092

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

a)



*Figure 1.* Crystal structure model of COF-1-M with pores filled by disordered mesitylene molecules (balls).

ing only few asymmetric XRD reflections and preventing precise structure analysis.  $\ensuremath{^{[1,18]}}$ 

Herein, we present the first (to our knowledge) highpressure study of the empty pore COF-1 and mesitylene porefilled (COF-1-M) materials. Both materials reveal an irreversible transformation into a semi-amorphous high-pressure phase around 12–15 GPa, similar to the phase transformation observed in graphite. However, the pristine COF-1 phase can be recovered even after compression up to 10–12 GPa thus providing an example of a remarkable pressure stability for highly porous structure. Test experiments with high-temperature treatment at 7.7 GPa demonstrate decomposition of COF-1 structure.

COF-1-M material was synthesized in two batches, both showing good agreement with earlier published data as confirmed by XRD, XPS, Raman and FTIR spectra and TGA (see the Supporting Information). Indexing of XRD patterns using the  $P6_3/mmc$  space group provides a = 15.12 Å and c = 6.627 Å for the first batch and a = 15.16 Å and c =6.614 Å for the second batch. High-pressure experiments performed in DAC showed that the ambient pressure phase is stable at least up to circa 13–15 GPa (Figure 2).

Compression of COF-1-M structure results in a relatively small decrease of the *a*-parameter (down to 14.4 Å at 15 GPa) reflecting the rigid covalently bound structure of COFene layers and much stronger change in the *c*-parameter reflecting the weaker van der Waals bonding between the layers. The distance between COFene layers decreases from 3.31 Å down to 2.65 Å at 15 GPa (Figure 3). Compression of COF-1-M results in a strong intensity decrease and broadening of (002) reflection already starting from relatively small pressures. Moreover, other reflections which include  $\ell$ -indexes could not be followed above 5–7 GPa. Adding mesitylene as a pressure medium has not provided significant differences in pressure dependence of the unit cell parameters of COF-1-M, Figure 3. The data recorded with small pressure steps up to 7 GPa were used to evaluate the bulk modulus of COF-1-M structure and compressibility of COFene layers.

The bulk modulus and its pressure derivative (B = 11.2-(5) GPa and B' = 6.0(3)) were estimated from a least-squares fit to the Murnaghan equation of state. Linear incompressibilities, as defined by Equations (1) and (2):

$$k_{[100]} = -\left(\frac{dp}{d\ln a}\right)_{p=0} = 111 \text{GPa}$$
<sup>(1)</sup>



110

**Figure 2.** XRD patterns recorded using COF-1-M in DAC (no pressure medium). a) Compression up to 8.2 GPa ( $\lambda$  = 0.4859 Å) b) XRD patterns recorded before compression and after decompression (background subtracted).

R

$$k_{[001]} = -\left(\frac{dp}{d\ln c}\right)_{p=0} = 15$$
GPa (2)

were found from a fit to a one-dimensional analogue of the Murnaghan equation. From the Equations (3) and (4):

$$B = \frac{C_{33}(C_{11} + C_{12}) - 2C_{13}^2}{C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13}}$$
(3)

$$\frac{k_{[100]}}{k_{[001]}} = \frac{(C_{11} + C_{12}) - 2C_{13}}{C_{33} - C_{13}} \tag{4}$$

and assuming  $C_{13} \approx 0$ , we can estimate  $C_{11} + C_{12} \approx 105$  GPa and  $C_{33} \approx 14$  GPa.<sup>[19]</sup> We notice a good agreement between experiment and dispersion-corrected density-functional theory calculations (at the PBE-D3(BJ) level) with respect to bulk modulus and the  $C_{33}$  constant (theory: B = 11.4 GPa and  $C_{33} = 13.8$  GPa, see the Supporting Information) but a theoretical value of the in-plane elastic modulus is significantly higher ( $C_{11} + C_{12} = 156$  GPa), pointing towards the existence of in-plane defects.

Comparing elastic properties of graphite and COF-1, we notice a drastic drop in the in-plane stiffness, as evidenced by

1088 www.angewandte.org © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 1087–1092



**Figure 3.** Pressure dependences of unit cell parameters and unit cell volume of COF-1-M recorded in three separate experiments:  $\blacksquare$  and  $\bullet$  without pressure medium,  $\blacktriangle$  in mesitylene. Insets show experimental compressibility of graphite oxide in the *a*- and *c*- directions with fitting by Murnaghan equation and theoretical data.

difference in  $k_{[100]}$  (111(5) GPa and 1250(70) GPa<sup>[9b]</sup> for COF-1 and graphite, respectively). Therefore, COFene layer is about 10-fold softer than graphene. However, the difference in the bulk moduli of COF-1 and graphite corresponds approximately to the reduction in the densities ( $\rho_{\text{graphite}}/\rho_{\text{COF-1-M}} \approx 1.8$ ;  $B_{\text{graphite}}/B_{\text{COF-1-M}} \approx 3.3$ ). A similarly strong difference in mechanical properties can also be seen between COF-1-M and h-BN, although the latter is a bit softer than graphite ( $C_{11} + C_{12} = 980$  GPa, bulk modulus 25.6 GPa).<sup>[20]</sup> In fact, the bulk modulus of COF-1-M resembles that of a molecular crystal or a MOF with a similar density,<sup>[21]</sup> indicating that overall compressibility is dominated by van der Waals interactions. Note that COF-1 sheet edges are likely to be terminated by B-OH groups which makes it rather different compared to, for example, graphite or h-BN.

Phase transformation occurs in COF-1-M at around 13– 15 GPa as evidenced by visual observation of color change, XRD (Figure 4), and Raman spectroscopy data. The ambient pressure COF-1 is a white transparent powder. As a result of the phase transformation, the color changes to deep brown and the material become less transparent (see image in the Supporting Information).



Angewandte

Chemie

**Figure 4.** a) XRD patterns recorded from COF-1-M upon compression up to 30.3 GPa ( $\lambda = 0.4957$  Å) and b) XRD patterns recorded before compression and after decompression.

Partial amorphization of the material is evident in XRD patterns recorded above the transition point with only two weak reflections, (010) and (110), visible in XRD patterns above 15 GPa and preserving up to 30.3 GPa (Figure 4).

As shown in Figure 2 decompression from 8.2 GPa results in a complete recovery of the ambient pressure phase (with slightly different unit cell parameters), decompression from 12.9 GPa is only partly reversible, while compression up to 30.3 GPa is clearly not reversible, Figure 4b. The highpressure phase is preserved after release of pressure, exhibiting only two weak XRD reflections, which roughly correspond to (010) and (110) of the ambient phase.

Similar results were also observed for COF-1 material annealed to remove guest solvent molecules. The empty pore COF-1 shows strong but asymmetric (010) reflection at ambient pressure indicating the in-plane disorder induced by evaporation of guest molecules. The intensity of the (010) reflection decreases very strongly already upon rather mild compression, whereas the asymmetric part decreases in intensity less strongly thus providing two independent components, Figure 5. The dataset recorded for empty-pore COF-1 is less suitable for the evaluation of unit cell parameters, bulk modulus, and linear compressibility due to the rather broad and asymmetric shape of XRD reflections. Nevertheless, the data collected in high-pressure experiments with Communications



**Figure 5.** XRD patterns recorded upon compression of annealed empty-pore COF-1 up to 21.8 GPa and XRD patterns recorded in open cell after decompression in three separate experiments ( $\lambda = 0.4957$  Å).

Diffraction angle, 20

the empty pore COF-1 are very similar to those of the pore filled COF-1-M (see the Supporting Information).

Strong compressibility is observed along the c-direction down to c values of 5.4 Å at 12–15 GPa. The *a*-unit cell parameter was found to decrease somewhat more strongly compared to the material with filled pores with minimal values observed in two separate experiments 13.7 Å and 13.9 Å at 12 GPa. Adding mesitylene to the empty pore COF-1 has not resulted in a significant change of compressibility (see the Supporting Information).

The ambient pressure empty-pore COF-1 phase was recovered after compression up to pressures below circa 15 GPa, while irreversible transformation occurs at higher pressures. The sample decompressed from 21.8 GPa showed no (002) reflection, thus demonstrating the absence of ordering in the *c*-direction.

Annealed COF-1 is a highly porous material with relatively large BET surface area, but it shows remarkable stability at high-pressure conditions. The material is partly recovered even after compression up to 14.7 GPa, a pressure that significantly exceeds the collapse point for most other porous materials. For example, rather rigid carbon nanotubes were demonstrated to deform already at 1.5–1.7 GPa<sup>[22]</sup> with

a complete pore collapse at 2–13  $\mbox{GPa}^{[23]}$  depending on their diameter.  $^{[24]}$ 

However, Raman spectra recorded of the empty pore COF-1 upon compression to 10.2 GPa and after decompression show that the ambient pressure structure is preserved (Figure 6).



*Figure 6.* Raman spectra recorded from COF-1 (annealed) upon compression to 10.2 GPa and after decompression using 514 nm laser (background subtracted).

The extreme pressure stability of the empty pore COF-1 is related to a high (for a porous solid) in-plane Young's modulus ( $E_a \approx C_{11} - C_{12}^2/C_{11} \approx 33$  GPa). However, low shear moduli parallel to the basal planes  $C_{44} < 1$  GPa, as well as for individual layers ( $C_{11} - C_{12}$ )/2  $\approx 9$  GPa (Supporting Information) are responsible for loss of ordering between layers at relatively low pressures of a few gigapascals.

The nature of the high-pressure phase formed from COF-1 (both empty pore and filled pores structures) above 15 GPa cannot be unambiguously resolved using our results owing to the partial amorphization of the material. Interpreting only XRD data, one could possibly suggest a structure composed of deformed COFene layers with a complete stacking disorder. However, Raman spectroscopy shows that the ambient pressure COF-1 layer structure is not preserved above 15 GPa (Figure 7).

The pressure dependence of Raman peaks originating from ambient COF-1 can be followed up to circa 15 GPa. Dramatic changes are observed in the Raman spectra at higher pressures, most of the peaks disappear and a new feature appears at  $1570 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  starting from 13.3 GPa. Only two broad features are observed at 21.7 GPa, 1648 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $1596 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The point of transition is also marked by the change of slope for the pressure dependence of the in-plane C–C peak position, it is found at 1613 cm<sup>-1</sup> at ambient pressure, upshifts to maximal value of 1663 cm<sup>-1</sup> at 12.5 GPa and downshifts at higher pressures. Unfortunately, Raman spectra of the high-pressure phase could not be recorded after decompression owing to very strong luminescence background observed with the two available lasers (514 nm and 633 nm).



*Figure 7.* Raman spectra (514 nm laser excitation) recorded from COF-1-M upon compression to 21.7 GPa (background subtracted).

The most likely reason for the changes in Raman spectra and XRD patterns observed in the 12–15 GPa region is the collapse of the COF-1 structure. In fact, the Raman spectra recorded at 21.7 GPa are remarkably similar to the Raman spectra recorded from various amorphous carbon nanostructures, for example, carbon onions exhibit two broad peaks at similar positions (17 GPa).<sup>[25]</sup> Therefore, we argue that the COF-1 structure breaks up above 15 GPa.

The collapse of the COF-1 structure results in the formation of an amorphous phase, which is likely to consist of a complex mixture of  $B_3O_3$ -benzene framework fragments, possibly with some fraction of graphitic carbon as indicated by FTIR spectra recorded from decompressed sample (Supporting Information). However, a precise evaluation of collapsed COF-1 structure requires further experiments. The exact nature of amorphous pressure-collapsed framework materials is notoriously difficult to reveal and remains unknown for most MOF materials.<sup>[14a,26]</sup>

In conclusion, empty-pore COF-1 and mesitylene-filled COF-1-M materials were analyzed at high-pressure conditions using synchrotron XRD and Raman spectroscopy up to 21.8 GPa and 30 GPa, respectively. Both structures are found to be preserved and reversibly recovered after compression up to circa 12-15 GPa. The pressure dependence of volume (up to 8 GPa) and zero-stress elastic constants of both emptypore and mesitylene-filled structures of COF-1 were successfully modelled through first-principles calculations. Phase transformation into a new phase is detected by formation of brown colored and less-transparent phase above 13-15 GPa. The high-pressure phase is semi-amorphous, it exhibits only two weak XRD reflections, while the Raman spectra provide evidence for the collapse of in-plane framework structure. Elastic properties of COF-1 structure and COFene layers were evaluated using experimental data providing the bulk modulus of 11.2(5) GPa and in-plane linear incompressibility of 111(5) GPa, which are significantly smaller compared to respective values for graphite (B = 33.8(3) GPa and  $k_{[100]} =$ 1250(70) GPa).<sup>[9b]</sup> To our knowledge these are the first experimental data on the mechanical properties of layered COF systems, which could be used to benchmark recently developed theoretical approaches to account for van der Waals interactions in solids.[27]

#### Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No785219 and the Swedish Research Council grant (no. 2017-04173). A.Y. and A.T. acknowledge support from Kempestiftelserna. A.T. acknowledge support by Vibrational Spectroscopy platform of Umeå University. I.A.B. thanks ZIH, Dresden, for the generous allocation of computational resources. B.J. thanks IISc Banaglore and ICTP Trieste for the IISc-ICTP fellowship. We acknowledge SOLEIL for provision of synchrotron radiation facilities and would like to thank Nicolas Guignot for assistance in using beamline PSICHE. The research leading to this result has been partially supported by the project CALIPSOplus under Grant Agreement 730872 from the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation HORIZON 2020

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** 2D materials · DFT calculations · high pressure · Raman spectroscopy · XRD

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 1087–1092 Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 1103–1108

- [1] A. P. Cote, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O'Keeffe, A. J. Matzger, O. M. Yaghi, *Science* 2005, *310*, 1166–1170.
- [2] a) N. Huang, P. Wang, D. L. Jiang, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2016, *1*, 16068; b) C. S. Diercks, O. M. Yaghi, *Science* 2017, 355, 923–931;
   c) S. Y. Ding, W. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 548–568.
- [3] N. Huang, P. Wang, D. L. Jiang, Nat. Rev. Mater. 2016, 1, 16068.
- [4] S. Y. Ding, J. Gao, Q. Wang, Y. Zhang, W. G. Song, C. Y. Su, W. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19816–19822.
- [5] J. R. Fu, S. Das, G. L. Xing, T. Ben, V. Valtchev, S. L. Qiu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7673–7680.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 1087–1092 © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 1091

- [6] X. J. Zhan, Z. Chen, Q. C. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 14463–14479.
- [7] a) J. W. Colson, A. R. Woll, A. Mukherjee, M. P. Levendorf, E. L. Spitler, V. B. Shields, M. G. Spencer, J. Park, W. R. Dichtel, *Science* 2011, *332*, 228–231; b) J. H. Sun, A. Klechikov, C. Moise, M. Prodana, M. Enachescu, A. V. Talyzin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 1034–1038; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 1046–1050.
- [8] W. Zhou, H. Wu, T. Yildirim, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2010, 499, 103– 107.
- [9] a) Y. X. Zhao, I. L. Spain, *Phys. Rev. B* 1989, 40, 993–997; b) M. Hanfland, H. Beister, K. Syassen, *Phys. Rev. B* 1989, 39, 12598–12603.
- [10] a) A. V. Talyzin, V. L. Solozhenko, O. O. Kurakevych, T. Szabo,
  I. Dekany, A. Kurnosov, V. Dmitriev, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
  2008, 47, 8268-8271; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 8392-8395;
  b) A. V. Talyzin, B. Sundqvist, T. Szabo, I. Dekany, V. Dmitriev,
  J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 18445-18449.
- [11] M. Ghidiu, S. Kota, V. Drozd, M. W. Barsoum, *Sci. Adv.* **2018**, *4*, eaao6850.
- [12] K. W. Chapman, G. J. Halder, P. J. Chupas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17546–17547.
- [13] H. Y. Mao, J. Xu, Y. Hu, Y. N. Huang, Y. Song, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 11976–11984.
- [14] a) A. J. Graham, D. R. Allan, A. Muszkiewicz, C. A. Morrison,
   S. A. Moggach, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 11138–11141;
   *Angew. Chem.* 2011, 123, 11334–11337; b) Q. Li, S. R. Li, K.
   Wang, J. Liu, K. Yang, B. B. Liu, G. T. Zou, B. Zou, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2014, 118, 5848–5853.
- [15] a) W. Li, M. R. Probert, M. Kosa, T. D. Bennett, A. Thirumurugan, R. P. Burwood, M. Parinello, J. A. K. Howard, A. K. Cheetham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 11940–11943; b) W. Z. Cai, A. Gladysiak, M. Aniola, V. J. Smith, L. J. Barbour, A. Katrusiak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 9296–9301; c) W. Z. Cai, A. Katrusiak, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 0.
- [16] R. N. Widmer, G. I. Lampronti, S. Anzellini, R. Gaillac, S. Farsang, C. Zhou, A. M. Belenguer, C. W. Wilson, H. Palmer,

A. K. Kleppe, M. T. Wharmby, X. Yu, S. M. Cohen, S. G. Telfer, S. A. T. Redfern, F. X. Coudert, S. G. MacLeod, T. D. Bennett, *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *18*, 370–376.

- [17] Y. Du, D. Calabro, B. Wooler, Q. C. Li, S. Cundy, P. Kamakoti, D. Colmyer, K. M. Mao, P. Ravikovitch, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 399–407.
- [18] B. Lukose, A. Kuc, T. Heine, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2388-2392.
- [19] H. J. F. Jansen, A. J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B 1987, 35, 8207-8214.
- [20] a) A. Bosak, J. Serrano, M. Krisch, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi,
   H. Kanda, *Phys. Rev. B* 2006, *73*, 041402; b) V. L. Solozhenko,
   E. G. Solozhenko, *High Pressure Res.* 2001, *21*, 115–120.
- [21] E. C. Spencer, R. J. Angel, N. L. Ross, B. E. Hanson, J. A. K. Howard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4022–4026.
- [22] a) M. J. Peters, L. E. McNeil, J. P. Lu, D. Kahn, *Phys. Rev. B* 2000, *61*, 5939–5944; b) U. D. Venkateswaran, A. M. Rao, E. Richter, M. Menon, A. Rinzler, R. E. Smalley, P. C. Eklund, *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, *59*, 10928–10934.
- [23] a) K. Sood, P. V. Teresdesai, D. V. S. Muthu, R. Sen, A. Govindaraj, C. N. R. Rao, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **1999**, 215, 393–401.
- [24] J. A. Elliott, J. K. W. Sandler, A. H. Windle, R. J. Young, M. S. P. Shaffer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *92*, 095501.
- [25] J. J. Guo, G. H. Liu, X. M. Wang, T. Fujita, B. S. Xu, M. W. Chen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *95*, 0.
- [26] Y. Hu, H. Kazemian, S. Rohani, Y. N. Huang, Y. Song, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12694–12696.
- [27] I. Mosyagin, D. Gambino, D. G. Sangiovanni, I. A. Abrikosov, N. M. Caffrey, *Phys. Rev. B* 2018, 98, 174103.
- [28] L. Fast, J. M. Wills, B. Johansson, O. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. B 1995, 51, 17431-17438.

Manuscript received: June 20, 2019

- Accepted manuscript online: September 25, 2019
- Version of record online: December 2, 2019





# Supporting Information

## **Covalent Organic Framework (COF-1) under High Pressure**

Jinhua Sun, Artem Iakunkov, Igor A. Baburin, Boby Joseph, Vincenzo Palermo, and Alexandr V. Talyzin\*

anie\_201907689\_sm\_miscellaneous\_information.pdf

Supporting information

- 1. Experimental section.
- 2. DFT calculations.

3. Characterization of COF-1 materials used for high pressure experiments: XRD, Raman spectra, TGA, Nitrogen sorption analysis (surface area), FTIR, XPS, SEM.

- 4. XRD data recorded in DAC for COF-1 and COF-1-M compressed in mesitylene.
- 5. Compressibility data for empty pore (annealed) COF-1.
- 6. Additional data for analysis of Raman spectra.
- 7. FTIR analysis of decompressed samples.
- 8. XPS analysis of sample decompressed from 23.2 GPa.

#### **1. Experimental Section**

Synthesis of COF-1 was performed according to Ref.1. Characterization by XRD, Raman spectroscopy, FTIR, XPS and TGA confirms successful synthesis of materials. SEM images showed that the powder is composed by small platelet shaped crystal with typical size ~50-200 nm and thickness ~10-50 nm. High pressure experiments were performed in four post or six post DAC cells using stainless steel and rhenium gaskets and culet sizes of diamonds 0.3-05 mm. Powder samples of COF-1 and COF-1 were studied without pressure medium since e.g. gases could act as guest species in the porous structure. Mesitylene was added in some experiments as the solvent used in synthesis of material. First set of experiments was recorded using synchrotron radiation at PSICHE beamline (SOLEIL), the wavelength of  $\lambda$  = 0.4859Å, using a MARE Research image plate detector. The two-dimensional XRD patterns were integrated using Dioptas 3.1 or Fit2D software. Second set of experiments was recorded at Xpress beamline (Elettra) using mar-345 detector and radiation wavelength  $\lambda$ =0.4957Å. Raman spectra were recorded using Renishaw spectrometer with 50<sup>\*</sup> objective. Pressure was calibrated using gold wire (0.005 mm thickness) and ruby (J.C.Chervin Cr<sup>3-</sup>doped balls) fluorescence. Sample decompressed from 23.3 GPa was also studied ex situ using FTIR and XPS (see SI file). DFT calculations were performed with the PWscf/Quantum ESPRESSO package (www.quantum-espresso.org). The PBE functional together with the Grimme dispersion correction and the Becke-Johnson (BJ) damping function PBE-D3(BJ) was chosen since it describes well the elastic properties of layered materials [e.g. hexagonal boron nitride and graphite,<sup>[1]</sup>. The Kohn–Sham equations were solved using the plane-wave pseudopotential approach (PAW pseudopotentials were taken from the online library https://www.quantumespresso.org/pseudopotentials). A kinetic energy cutoff of 80 Ry and a density cutoff of 500 Ry were applied to achieve converged results. Variable cell, constant pressure relaxation was performed to optimize the COF-1 structures (both empty pore and mesitylene-filled) in the pressure range 0-8 GPa. In the optimized structures the residual forces were smaller than 0.01 eV/Å and the pressure converged within 0.05 GPa. A standard Monkhorst–Pack k-mesh (2 x 2 x 4) was used for the summation over the Brillouin zone. Elastic constants were found from a quadratic fit of energy vs. strain, as explained e.g. in ref.<sup>[2]</sup>

#### 2. DFT calculations

DFT calculations were performed with the PWscf/Quantum ESPRESSO package (www.quantumespresso.org). The PBE functional along with the Grimme dispersion correction [D3 with the Becke-Johnson (BJ) damping] was chosen since it describes well the elastic properties of layered materials [e.g. graphite and hexagonal boron nitride<sup>[1]</sup>]. The Kohn–Sham equations (without spin polarization) were solved using the plane-wave pseudopotential approach (PAW pseudopotentials from the online library https://www.quantum-espresso.org/pseudopotentials were used). A kinetic energy cutoff of 80 Ry and a density cutoff of 500 Ry were applied to achieve converged results. Variable cell, constant pressure relaxation was performed to obtain optimized structures in the pressure range 0-8 GPa. In the optimized structures the residual forces were smaller than 0.01 eV/Å and the pressure converged within 0.05 GPa. A standard Monkhorst–Pack *k*-mesh (2 x 2 x 4) was used for the summation over the Brillouin zone. Elastic constants were found from a quadratinc fit of energy vs. strain, as explained e.g. in Phys. *Rev. B* 51, 17431 (1995).

#### Table S1. Elastic moduli for COF-1-M (AB polytype)

Elastic moduli, GPa	<i>C</i> <sub>11</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>12</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>13</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>33</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>44</sub>
	90.3	65.8	-1.2	13.8	0.8

Table S2. Elastic moduli for empty pore COF-1 (AA' stacking with slightly displaced layers, Ref. 18)

Elastic moduli, GPa	<i>C</i> <sub>11</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>12</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>13</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>33</sub>	<b>C</b> 44
	89.0	70.5	-0.3	8.7	0.5

#### 3. Characterization of COF-1 materials used for high pressure experiments.

Two batches of COF-1 material were used in our experiments at SOELIL in 2016 and at ELETTRA in 2019. XRD characterization demonstrates only some slight differences between these two batches as shown below.



**Figure 1S** XRDpatterns recorded from COF-1 (2016) before and after vacuum annealing at 250°C. The data are in good agreement with ref. 1



Figure S2 Raman spectrum of COF-1 (batch 2016)



**Figure S3.** Raman spectra of COF-1 synthesized in 2019 before and after annealing. Spectrum of precursor 1,2-diboronic acid is shown as a reference. Arrows point to the peaks originating from mesitylene.



Figure S4 TGA of pristine COF-1 powder recorded in nitrogen flow.



Figure S5. Nitrogen sorption isotherm recorded using annealed COF-1. Analysis of this isotherm provides BET surface area value 964  $m^2/g$ .



**Figure S6** Typical SEM images of lightly grinded COF-1 powder. The crystals are typically platelet shaped with typical diameter of about 50-200 nm and typical thickness of 10-50 nm. Some micrometer sized crystal aggregates are also found. SEM images were recorded using Zeiss Merlin FEG-SEM microscope JEOL JSM-7800F Prime.



**Figure S7.** XPS spectra recorded from empty pore COF-1 (a) B 1s, (b) O 1s, and (C) C1s. The sample is from the first batch used in high pressure experiments in 2016. Notably only one peak is observed form oxygen and boron thus providing evidence for purity of the synthesized COF-1. XPS spectra of precursor 1,2-diboronic acid are shown as a reference. Note that boron

is found in COF-1 structure in  $B_3O_3$  units and on the edges the structure is terminated by  $B(OH)_2$  as in precursor 1,2-diboronic acid. These two kinds of boron can not be resolved in XPS.

The XPS spectra were recorded with a Kratos Axis Ultra electron spectrometer equipped with a delay line detector. A monochromated Al K $\alpha$  source operated at 150 W, a hybrid lens system with a magnetic lens, providing an analysis area of  $0.3 \times 0.7$  mm, and a charge neutralizer were used for the measurements. The binding energy scale was adjusted with respect to the C 1s line of aliphatic carbon, set at 285.0 eV. All spectra were processed with the Kratos software.



**Figure S8.** FTIR spectra recorded from precursor COF-1M and COF-1 powders at vacuum conditions using Bruker Vertex 80v FT-IR spectrometer with DTGS detector at vacuum in Attenuated total reflection. The spectra are in excellent agreement with literature data (A.P.Cote et al, *Science* 2005, 310, 1166-1170,<sup>[3]</sup>).



**Figure S9.** XRD patterns recorded from annealed COF-1 immersed in excess of mesitylene as pressure medium. Multiple strong peaks from solid mesitylene are observed starting from 0.9 GPa.



**Figure S10.** XRD patterns recorded from COF-1-M compressed after addition of mesitylene to the powder pressed into the gasket hole.



**Figure S11.** XRD patterns recorded from COF-1-M compressed after addition of mesitylene at 3.4 GPa, maximal pressure of 20.3 GPa and after decompression in closed cell. Irreversible transformation is obvious from the disappearance of all reflections which include ℓ-indexes.

#### 5. Compressibility data for empty pore (annealed) COF-1.



**Figure S12.** Pressure dependence of a-unit cell parameter for annealed empty pore COF-1. Experiment performed with material synthesized in batch 1 (green) and batch 2 (black symbols). Compression in excess of mesitylene was calculated using position of single (100) reflection.

**Figure S13** Pressure dependence of c-unit cell parameter for annealed empty pore COF-1. Compressibility of COF-1-M in mesitylene could not be followed in C-direction due to heavy overlap with XRD reflections of solid mesitylene.



**Figure S14** a) Pressure dependence of c-unit cell parameter for annealed empty pore COF-1. Compressibility of COF-1-M in mesitylene could not be followed in C-direction due to heavy overlap with XRD reflections of solid mesitylene. b) Fit of experimental  $V/V_0$  data using Murnaghan equation for the best set of data up to 4 GPa

sample showed distinctly asymmetrical shape with additional (compared to COF-1) components at 193.1 eV and 286.0 eV respectively. The new components indicate at least partial collapse of COF-1 framework structure in amorphous high pressure phase. The O1s peak also become broader and shifted by 0.2 eV.

- [1] I. Mosyagin, D. Gambino, D. G. Sangiovanni, I. A. Abrikosov, N. M. Caffrey, *Phys Rev B* **2018**, *98*.
- [2] L. Fast, J. M. Wills, B. Johansson, O. Eriksson, *Phys Rev B* **1995**, *51*, 17431-17438.
- [3] A. P. Cote, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O'Keeffe, A. J. Matzger, O. M. Yaghi, *Science* **2005**, *310*, 1166-1170.